

WP(C) 5646/2006

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE HRISHIKESH ROY

Heard Mr S Borthakur, learned counsel for the petitioners. Also heard Mr U K Goswami, learned Standing Counsel for the Education Department.

The four petitioners by filing this writ petition are seeking a direction for regularization of their services as Teachers and also for a further direction for payment of their current salary as well as arrear salary stated to be due since June'2001. The petitioners were appointed as Second Founder Teachers under the Operation Blackboard Scheme (for short, 'the OBB scheme') at a monthly stipend of Rs. 900/- per month and they have also completed 1 (one) year Basic Training Course after they have joined their services.

Mr Goswami, learned Standing Counsel has drawn attention of this Court to the affidavit filed on 25.6.2007 by the Director of Elementary Education, wherein it is indicated that a proposal has already been given to the Government by letter No. EPD.1/2000/Pt.IV (B)/168 dated 25.5.2007, for retention of posts of the teachers including that of the petitioners. The said document is marked as Annexure 5 to the counter affidavit.

It is further submitted by Mr Goswami, that as the matter is now under active consideration of the Government, this case may be ordered to be closed with a direction to the Government for consideration of the case of the writ petitioners which is already under active consideration of the Government.

The learned counsel for the petitioner similarly submits that it would be appropriate to dispose of this writ petition by directing the Government to consider the case of the writ petitioners, who have made claim for regularization of their services and for payment of the salaries.

In view of the aforesaid submissions and particularly in view of the fact that the claims of large number of teachers such as the petitioners are now under active consideration of the Government, I dispose of this writ petition with a direction to the Government for considering the case of the writ petitioners.

If aggrieved by any adverse decision of the respondent authorities, the petitioners would be at liberty to file fresh writ petition before this Court.

With the aforesaid directions, this writ petition stands closed.

.